UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,403	02/13/2006	Stanley R. Pearson	16515.4001	7638
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP IP PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			VALENROD, YEVGENY	
4 PARK PLAZA SUITE 1600 IRVINE, CA 92614-2558		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/02/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/568,403	PEARSON, STANLEY R.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	YEVEGENY VALENROD	1621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
• •	VIO OET TO EVEIDE AMONTH	(0) OD THIDTY (00) DAYO			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 (October 2010.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-48 and 58-60</u> is/are pending in the	e application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) 45-48 and 58-60 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ad.			
333 the attached detailed office deticit for a list	to. and donation doping flot receive	· · · ·			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/23/10</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Obviousness double patenting rejection of claims 45-48 and 58-60 is withdrawn in view of the approved terminal disclaimer filed 10/13/10

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 45-48 and 58-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Purdy et al. (US 4,497,637)

Scope of prior art

Purdy et al teach a method of producing syngas from biomass. Their described process involves gasification of char (which is a solid organic material based feedstock) obtained from a pyrolyzer. The char is added to the gasifier together with superheated steam, which meets the instant limitation directed to addition of feedstock at an elevated temperature (column 8, lines 32-34). Purdy et al also teach a temperature range of 1700degF – 2700degF (column 6, lines45-46). Most importantly Purdy teach "Residence times and temperatures are selected so as to achieve essentially complete gasification of the char." (column 6, lines 48-50)

Ascertaining the difference between prior art and instant claims

Purdy et al teach production of syngas from a solid feedstock, however they fail to teach the specific limitations directed to exit temperature and contact time.

Obviousness

One skilled in the art would find it obvious to alter temperature and residence times in the process of Purdy in order to determine optimal parameters of the process. Motivation for trying different temperatures and residence times is provided by Purdy in column 6, lines 48-50, which indicates that those variables were recognized in the art to be result effective at the time the invention was made. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). The residence time referred to by Purdy is interpreted to have the same meaning as the term "contact time" found in the instant claims.

Conclusion

Claims 45-48 and 58-60 are pending.

Claims 45-48 and 58-60 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yevgeny Valenrod whose telephone number is 571-272-9049. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,403 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Sullivan can be reached on 571-272-0779. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Yevgeny Valenrod/

Voygony Valonrod

Yevgeny Valenrod Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

/Daniel M Sullivan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1621